

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,919	03/30/2001		Bradley J. Wessman	20010021.ORI	7672
36029	7590	07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
DOCKET C		M/ANSI	CAMPBELL, THOR S		
P.O. BOX 80	2432				
DALLAS, T	X 75380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				3742	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/821,919	WESSMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thor S. Campbell	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-9,12-14,16-18 and 20-23 is/are is/3 Claim(s) 4,5,10,11,15 and 19 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11616	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/821,919

Art Unit: 3742

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 6-8, 12 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saitou et al. (US 6451005 B).

Saitou et al. (US 6451005 B) discloses catheter body comprising an inner insulator and an outer insulator of similar synthetic resins and having a conductor wound and embedded therebetween, and that the inner and outer layer are fused together to form a unitary structure. Saitou does not explicitly disclose the use of a plurality of conductors, however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Saitou to include a plurality of conductors, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12-14, 16-18, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nita et al. (US 5951539) in view of Saitou et al. (US 6451005).

Nita discloses a catheter body and method of making a catheter body comprising a inner insulator formed about a central mandrel, an outer insulating around the inner insulator and a conductor wound about the inner insulator, wherein the outer and inner insulators are fused by heat to each other. It is noted that the word "fuse" has been limited by applicant's disclosure and



Art Unit: 3742

arguments to require melting of the two layer to form a unitary layer. Saitou et al. discloses a catherter body having a reinforcing conductor embedded between layers, wherein the two layers are melted, "fused" together to form a unitary layer surrounding the embedded conductor. It would have been obvious to fuse the inner and outer layers of Nita together as taught by Saitou in order to create a unitary body having an integral polymer coil spring as taught by column 16, line 65.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It is the examiner's opinion that although Nita teaches the "polymeric spring" via the "islands" as pointed out by applicant, it does not preclude the fusing of the inner and outer layers as suggested by applicant. It is believed that the Nita device would function equally well with the inner and outer insulator "fused" together. It is further noted that applicant's addition that there be a plurality of conductors positioned between the inner and outer layer is not considered by the Examiner to be an improvement outside of the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-5, 10-11, 15 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. It is noted that applicant has invoke 35 USC 112(6), in claims 10-11 by "means for spacing".



Art Unit: 3742

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thor S. Campbell whose telephone number is 571-272-4776. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 5:30AM-2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

